1 2 3	THOMAS A. ERICSSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4982 ORONOZ, ERICSSON & GAFFNEY, LLC 1050 Indigo Drive, Suite 120 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 878-2889 Facsimile: (702) 522-1542 tom@oronozlawyers.com		
4			
5	Attorney for Bret Alan Humphries		
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10		) )	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) CASE NO: 2:14-cr-00279-APG-VCF	
12	Plaintiff,	) STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO	
13	vs.	<ul><li>) FILE REPLY TO THE</li><li>) GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE TO</li></ul>	
14	BRET ALAN HUMPHRIES	<ul><li>DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL</li><li>DISCOVERY (DKT. 127)</li></ul>	
15	Defendants.	) (First Request)	
16			
17		_)	
18			
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by BRET ALAN HUMPHRIES, by		
20	and through his attorney, THOMAS A. ERICSSON, ESQ., and the United States of America,		
21	by and through FRANK COUMOU, ESQ., Assistant United States Attorney, that the date for		
22	the defendant to respond to the Government's Response to Defendant's Motion to Compel		
23	Discovery (Dkt. 127) be extended up to and including June 23, 2017.		
24	The request for a continuance is based upon the following:		
25	1. On May 24, 2017, the Defendant filed a Motion to Compel Discovery (See,		
26	Dkt. 126).		
27		nent filed its Response to the Defendant's Motion	
28	to Compel Discovery (See, DI	al. 1 <i>21)</i> .	

1 2	Nevada Bar N Oronoz, Eri	CSSON & GAFFNEY, LLC	
3	Las Vegas, N	Orive, Suite 120 evada 89145	
4	Facsimile: (70		
5	tom@oronozlawyers.com  Attorney for Bret Alan Humphries		
6	Thiorney jor 1	rei Run Humpures	
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA  * * *		
10			) )
11	UNITED STA	ATES OF AMERICA,	) ) CASE NO: 2:14-cr-00279-APG-VCF
12		Plaintiff,	) STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO
13		vs.	) FILE REPLY TO THE ) GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE TO
14	   BRET ALAN	I HUMPHRIES	DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY (DKT. 127)
15	DICE! ITE!		) (First Request)
16		Defendants.	) (That Request)
17			) ) )
18			<i>,</i>
19	FINDINGS OF FACT		
20	Dagas	d on the monding Chimpletian of as	uncel and cood course annearing therefore the
21	Court finds:	on the pending Supulation of co	unsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the
22	1.	On May 24, 2017, the Defends	ant filed a Motion to Compel Discovery (See,
23	1.	Dkt. 126).	the field a Motion to Compet Discovery (See,
24	2. On June 7, 2017, the Government filed its Response to the Defendant's Motion		nt filed its Response to the Defendant's Motion
25		to Compel Discovery (See, Dkt.	127).
26	3.	-	ires additional time to finalize his reply to the
27		Government's Response because prepare the reply, and the expert	se he requires the assistance of his expert to is currently in trial.
28		-	

- 4. Defendant Humphries is currently in custody and has no objection to the continuance.
- 5. Defense counsel for BRET ALAN HUMPHRIES has spoken to AUSA Frank Coumou, and he has no objection to the continuance of the briefing schedule.
- 6. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay.
- 7. Denial of this request for a continuance would deny counsel for the defendant sufficient time, to effectively and thoroughly prepare and submit pretrial motions and notices of defense, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 8. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 9. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

## **CONCLUSION OF LAW**

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defense in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the defendant sufficient time to reply to the Government's arguments.

The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

///

## 

## **ORDER**

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the previously-scheduled deadline for the Defendant to file a reply to the Government's Response to Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery be extended until June 23, 2017.

DATED AND DONE this 14th day of June , 2017.

Cantachel

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE